Distr. GENERAL

CCCPR/C/SR.1149-1176/Corrigendum 22 December 1993

ENGLISH

HUMAN RIGHTS COMMITTEE

Forty-fifth session

SUMMARY RECORDS OF THE 1149th TO 1176th MEETINGS

Held at the Palais des Nations, Geneva, from 13 to 31 July 1992

Corrigendum

## PUBLIC MEETINGS

The present document contains the corrections received from members of the Human Rights Committee and from the Secretariat applying to the English text of the summary records of the public meetings of the Committee at its forty-fifth session (CCPR/C/SR.1149-1157, 1158-1160, 1162, 1166-1167, 1171, 1172-1173, 1174-1176 and 1176/Add.2).

The Committee also held 12 closed meetings. The summary records of those meetings (CCPR/C/SR.1157/Add.1, 1160/Add.1, 1161, 1163-1165, 1168-1170, 1171/Add.1, 1173/Add.1 and 1176/Add.1) were issued in restricted distribution. The corrections received to the records of the closed meetings do not apply to the English text.

With the issuance of the present corrigendum the summary records of the meetings held by the Human Rights Committee at its forty-fifth session are to be considered as final.

GE.93-19977 (E)

CCPR/C/SR.1149-1176/Corrigendum page 2

### 1150th meeting

## Paragraph 37

Line 1: for commending read welcoming

Line 5: <u>for</u> country <u>read</u> Republic of Korea

#### Paragraph 38, line 2

For domestic read internal Korean

## Paragraph 39, line 4

For enactments read legislation

#### Paragraph 42, line 1

After article 3 insert of the Covenant

## Paragraph 43

Line 11 should read

applicable directly by a State authority or only through the courts in any case which involved one of the rights set forth in the Covenant? To what extent were

## Paragraph 44

Lines 2 and 3 should read

he noted that in the Penal Law of the Republic of Korea a large number of offences carried the death penalty. Paragraph 103 of the report stated that the death penalty could be

Line 10: <u>after</u> could <u>insert</u> also

#### Paragraph 47, line 3

 $\underline{For}$  report).  $\underline{read}$  report); however, no mention was made of kidnapping as such.

# Paragraph 48

# Line 5 <u>should read</u>

circumstances. What were those restrictions and were they compatible with article 14 (2) of the Covenant which establishes the presumption of innocence? He was concerned to note that

## Paragraph 49, line 3

## <u>Delete</u> perhaps

## <u>Paragraph 51</u>

Line 8: for witnesses: indeed read witnesses. Indeed

Line 10 should read

confronting the witness, even if he had been granted permission to question witnesses. He would welcome

## Paragraph 53

Line 2: after para. 306 insert of the report

Line 3: for further stated read stated, moreover,

Line 6 <u>should read</u>

Had any political parties already been banned, in the affirmative, which ones and why?

#### 1151st meeting

## Paragraph 37, penultimate line

For nuclear-free-weapon zone read nuclear-weapon-free zone

# End of the meeting

The action line should read

The meeting rose at 1.05 p.m.

#### 1152nd meeting

## Paragraph 29, line 3

 $\underline{For}$  such a case  $\underline{read}$  such cases

# Paragraph 30

Lines 2 to 7 should read

"citizenship". The draft Constitution referred to "nationality" in a context where most Western legal systems - in Europe as well as in America - would use "citizenship", implying that a person must fulfil certain requirements that would permit him to exercise his political rights. In that sense, he understood the legal term "nationality" to apply to the majority of persons simply by an act of birth, whereas "citizenship" must be seen as having certain requirements, such as CCPR/C/SR.1149-1176/Corrigendum page 4

reaching legal age. How did the delegation of Belarus understand the concepts of "nationality", "citizenship" and "exercise of political rights"? The report also referred to "national groups". How could members of national minorities acquire the

#### Paragraph 31, line 5

Delete the legislation of

## 1154th meeting

## Page 16, paragraph 73

Line 3: <u>for</u> hardly definitions at all <u>read</u> little more than mere statements that do not offer the necessary precision for there to be the security that a judicial system should provide

Line 15: <u>before</u> broad <u>insert</u> imprecise and excessively

### Page 16, paragraph 74

Line 14: <u>after</u> past <u>insert</u> such that the judicial system did not offer the necessary security to the inhabitants of the territory of the Republic of Korea

Penultimate line: after Republic insert of Korea

#### Page 17, paragraph 74

The last two lines should read

society enjoyed full freedom to participate in a national dialogue on an equal plane with other citizens, for improvements could only be achieved when people were free to criticize and help to improve the existing order.

#### 1155th meeting

# Paragraph 36

Lines 3 and 4 should read

number of laws did not yet reflect the standards set forth in the Covenant. He

## Paragraph 37, lines 2 and 3

 $\underline{For}$  systematically subjected to any form of discrimination  $\underline{read}$  subjected to discrimination

# Paragraph 38

- Line 11: for derogation read suspension of rights
- Line 12: <u>for</u> further <u>read</u> more detailed
- Line 14: for often declared read frequently declared in recent times
- Line 15: <u>after</u> earthquakes <u>insert</u> and other natural disasters
- Line 16 to the end of the paragraph should read

but that no right was ever suspended in consequence of such a declaration. It seemed to him that in such circumstances some rights should actually be strengthened.

\_\_\_\_